

Lecture 11 (Oct 9, 2019):  $l_0$ -sampling and  $l_2$ -sampling

Lecturer: Mohammad R. Salavatipour

Scribe: Aditya Jayaprakash

In the previous lecture, we discussed various approaches to sampling, namely reservoir sampling and priority sampling. The lecture ended with the introduction to  $l_0$ -sampling and an algorithm for  $l_0$ -sampling. In this lecture, we will begin by analyzing the  $l_0$ -sampling algorithm from last lecture.

Let us recall, in the general  $l_p$ -sampling setting, we are given a non-zero vector  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and we want a random element  $r \in [n]$  such that

$$\mathbb{P}[r = i] = \frac{|a_i|^p}{\sum_i |a_i|^p}$$

### 11.1 Analysis of $l_0$ -sampling

In the algorithm, we used a hash function  $h : [n] \rightarrow [n^3]$  which is  $k$ -wise independent and chosen uniformly at random from a  $O(s)$ -universal hash family where  $s = O(\max\{\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}, \log \frac{1}{\delta}\})$ . We also defined  $a[j]$  by the following,

$$a[j]_i = \begin{cases} a_i, & \text{if } h(i) \leq \frac{n^3}{2^j} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We must note the following,

- $a[0]$  is the same as  $a$ .
- $a[1]$  is a vector which has approximately half the coordinates of  $a$  while the rest are zero.
- $a[2]$  is a vector which has approximately quarter the coordinates of  $a$  while the rest are zero.

The algorithm gives the vector  $a[j]$  as input to an  $s$ -sparse detection and recovery algorithm for  $s = \log(\frac{1}{\delta})$ . We then choose the first vector for which the recovery succeeds and returns a random coordinate from the smallest  $j$  such that  $a[j]$  is  $s$ -sparse. We must also note that

$$N = \|a\|_0$$

$$N_j = \|a[j]\|_0$$

We would like to have an estimate of the expected value in order to bound the probability using concentration inequalities later. Let  $j$  be such that

$$\frac{s}{4} \leq \mathbb{E}[N_j] \leq \frac{s}{2}$$

We wish to compute the probability of  $1 \leq \|a_j\|_0 \leq s$ . Since we have an estimate of the expected value, we can use the Chernoff bound,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}[|N_j - \mathbb{E}[N_j]| \geq r\mathbb{E}[N_j]] &\leq e^{-\frac{r^2\mathbb{E}[N_j]}{3}} \\ &\leq 2^{-\Omega(s)} \\ &\leq \delta \text{ since } s = O\left(\log \frac{1}{\delta}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, with high probability,  $a[j]$  will be  $s$ -sparse. Suppose  $k = O\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)$  and  $h$  is chosen uniformly at random from a  $k$ -universal hash family, then for any non-zero coordinate of  $j$  of vector  $a$ , we have

$$\mathbb{P}[\arg \max_{i: a_i \neq 0} h(i) = j] = \frac{1 \pm \epsilon}{N}$$

## 11.2 $l_2$ -sampling

We will now study the algorithm for  $l_2$ -sampling by A. Andoni, R. Krauthgamer and K. Onak in [AKO18]. Suppose we have a frequency vector  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$  where  $F_2 = \sum_i f_i^2$  is the second moment. In the  $l_2$  sampling problem, we wish to sample  $I \in [n]$  based on the following,

$$\mathbb{P}[I = i] = (1 \pm \epsilon) \frac{f_i^2}{F_2}$$

For simplicity, we will assume  $F_2 = 1$ . The algorithm is as follows,

### $l_2$ -sampling

1. For each  $i$ , let  $u_i \in (0, 1]$ .
2. Let  $w_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{u_i}}$
3. Let  $g_i = w_i f_i = \frac{f_i}{\sqrt{u_i}}$
4. Let  $g = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$ .
5. Suppose there is some large threshold value  $t$ :
6. If there is a unique  $i$  such that  $g_i^2 \geq t$  (i.e., for all  $j \neq i$ ,  $g_j^2 < t$ ),
7. Then return  $(i, f_i)$ .
8. Fail otherwise.

We will now calculate the probability of some  $i \in [n]$  being returned. This would happen when

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left[g_i^2 \geq t \bigwedge_{j \neq i} g_j^2 < t\right] &= \mathbb{P}[g_i^2 \geq t] \prod_{j \neq i} \mathbb{P}[g_j^2 < t] \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left[u_i \leq \frac{f_i^2}{t}\right] \underbrace{\prod_{j \neq i} \mathbb{P}\left[u_j > \frac{f_j^2}{t}\right]}_{\text{very large}} \\ &\approx \frac{f_i^2}{t} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the probability that some  $i$  is returned would be approximately be the sum of probability of each  $i$

$$\sum_i \frac{f_i^2}{t} = \frac{\sum_i f_i^2}{t} = \frac{F_2}{t} = \frac{1}{t}$$

We used the fact that  $F_2 = 1$  for the above inequality. In order to boost the probability, we use the standard trick of boosting the probability by running it  $O\left(t \log \frac{1}{\delta}\right)$  to have a probability of  $\delta$  for some element to be

returned.

Next, we will look at another  $l_2$ -sampling algorithm, called  $l_2$ -precision sampling.

### 11.3 $l_2$ -precision sampling

We can describe the algorithm as follows,

#### $l_2$ -precision sampling

1. Let  $u_1, \dots, u_n \in [\frac{1}{n^2}, 1]$
2. Let  $D$  be a CountSketch for  $g = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$ .
3. Given  $(j, c)$ , we feed  $(j, \frac{c}{\sqrt{u_j}})$  to our CountSketch  $D$ .
4. Let  $\hat{g}_j$  be an estimate for  $g_j$  from  $D$ .
5. Let  $\hat{f}_j = \hat{g}_j \sqrt{u_j}$ .
- 6.

$$X_j = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \hat{g}_j^2 = \frac{\hat{g}_j^2}{u_j} \geq \frac{4}{e} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

7. If there exists a unique  $j$  with  $X_j = 1$ , then return  $(j, f_j^2)$ .

**Lemma 1** Let  $F_2 = \sum_j f_j^2$  and  $F_2(f) = \sum_i g_i^2$ . Suppose  $F_2 = 1$ , then  $F_2(g) \leq O(\log n)$ .

**Proof.**

$$\begin{aligned} F_2(g) &= \sum_i g_i^2 \\ &= \sum_i \frac{f_i^2}{u_i} \\ &\leq F_2 \int_{\frac{1}{n^2}}^1 \frac{1}{u} \cdot du \\ &= F_2 \frac{\ln n^2}{1 - \frac{1}{n^2}} \\ &\leq 5 \log n = O(\log n) \end{aligned}$$

■

Suppose we use CountSketch with parameters  $(k, d)$  for  $g_i$  where  $k = O(\frac{1}{\epsilon})$  and  $d = O(\log n)$ . We can write  $\hat{g}_j^2$  as the following,

$$\hat{g}_j^2 = g_j^2 + Z_j^2$$

where  $Z_j$  was the sum of contribution of  $i \neq j$  where their hash functions collide. We showed  $\mathbb{E}[Z_j] \leq \frac{F_2(g)}{k}$  and using Markov's inequality, we get

$$\mathbb{P}\left[Z_j^2 > \frac{3F_2(g)}{k}\right] < \frac{1}{3}$$

In this case, with probability greater than  $\frac{2}{3}$ , we have  $Z_j^2 < 3\epsilon F_2(g)$ . We will use the fact  $1 \pm \epsilon \approx e^{\pm\epsilon}$  many times in our analysis.

- Case 1: When  $|g_j| \geq \frac{2}{\epsilon}$

This would imply

$$\hat{g}_j^2 = (g_j + Z_j)^2 = g_j^2 + \underbrace{2Z_j g_j + Z_j^2}_{\text{small}}$$

The latter term is small because  $\mathbb{E}[Z_j] \leq \frac{F_2(g)}{k}$  and  $k = O\left(\frac{\log n}{\epsilon}\right)$ .

- Case 2: When  $|g_j| > \frac{2}{3}$

This would imply

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{g}_j^2 - g_j^2| &= (g_j + Z_j)^2 - g_j^2 \\ &= Z_j^2 + 2g_j Z_j \\ &\leq Z_j^2 \left(1 + \frac{4}{\epsilon}\right) \\ &= 6\epsilon Z_j^2 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, with probability  $\frac{2}{3}$ , we have that  $|\hat{g}_j^2 - g_j^2| < \frac{18F_2(g)}{\epsilon k}$ . Suppose we choose  $k = O\left(\frac{\log n}{\epsilon}\right)$ , then with probability greater than  $1 - \left(\frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{3}\right)$ , we have  $F_2(g) \leq 50 \log n$  and  $Z_j \leq \frac{3F_2(g)}{k}$ .

Suppose we have

$$\hat{g}_j^2 = (1 \pm \epsilon)g_j^2 \pm 1 \implies f_j^2 = (1 \pm \epsilon)f_j^2 \pm u_j$$

Suppose  $X_j = 1$ , that means  $u_j \ll \frac{\epsilon \hat{f}_j^2}{4}$  which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}_j^2 &= (1 \pm \epsilon)f_j^2 \pm \frac{\epsilon \hat{f}_j^2}{4} \\ \implies \hat{f}_j^2 &= (1 \pm O(\epsilon))f_j^2 \end{aligned}$$

## References

- AKO18 A. ANDONI, R. KRAUTHGAMER AND K. ONAK, Streaming Algorithms via Precision Sampling. *IEEE 52nd Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, Pages 363-372, 2011.