

Learning from experts & Multiplicative Weights

In this lecture we start a new algorithm design technique called **Multiplicative Weights Algorithms** or online learning or learning from experts.

The expert Problem (or prediction with expert advice)

Suppose we have n experts that make predictions for something. e.g. It is going to rain or not, market goes up or down, etc.

- let $\mathcal{U}$ be the universe of possible outcomes and at the start of day t each expert $i \in [n]$ makes a prediction $p_i^t \in \mathcal{U}$
- The algorithm receives all these predictions & must come up with its own prediction $o^t \in \mathcal{U}$.
- We then are given the actual outcome a^t .

The goal is to **minimize the mistakes** we make i.e. # of days our prediction was false.

- We obviously cannot do better than the best

expert. Our goal is to be as close to the best expert in hindsight:

After, say, T number of days, we want our mistakes not (much) larger than that of the expert with min # of mistakes in those T days.

- Say m : # of mistakes by our alg.
- m_i : # of mistakes of expert $i \in [n]$

Goal: $m \approx m^* = \min_i m_i$

Lemma: There is an algorithm that makes $\lceil \log n \rceil$ mistakes if there is a perfect expert.

proof: Suppose $m^* = 0$, i.e. there is an expert that makes no mistake.

- Each day let σ^t be the majority of predictions
- At the end of each day remove experts that were wrong.

Note that for each day we make a mistake the # of remaining experts goes down by a

factor of ≥ 2 (because the correct answer was not majority). $\rightarrow$ # of mistakes $\leq \lceil \log n \rceil$

Now consider the general case (no perfect expert).
We can generalize:

Lemma: There is an algorithm that makes at most $(m^* + 1) \lceil \log n \rceil$ mistakes.

- proof:**
- think of time divided into "epochs"
 - We run the algorithm of "perfect expert" until experts are removed.
 - Bring back all experts & start a new epoch.
 - Suppose $m^* = m_{i^*}$. Note that in each epoch we make at most $\lceil \log n \rceil$ mistakes.
 - Also # of epochs $\leq m^*$: each time all experts are eliminated $\equiv i^*$ made a mistake
 - Finally in the last epoch (after m^*) there are at most $\lceil \log n \rceil$ mistakes.

The Weighted Majority Algorithm (WM)

The Weighted Majority Algorithm (WM)

We can improve by softening our penalty:
instead of eliminating an expert who erred,
discount their weight!

[Littlestone & Warmuth '89]

- For each expert i maintain weight w_i^t for each day t
and let $w_i^1 = 1$

- Each day $t \geq 1$, return weighted majority

$$a^t \leftarrow \arg \max_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \sum_{i: i \text{ predicts } u} w_i^t$$

- After seeing the outcome for each wrong expert
 i set : $w_i^{t+1} = w_i^t / 2$

Theorem: For any sequence of predictions, the number
of mistakes made by WM is $\leq 2.41 (m^* + \log n)$

proof: We the # of mistakes $\leq 2.41 (m_i + \log n) \quad \forall i$

- Define potential function

$$\Phi^t = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} w_i^t$$

- Note:

$$1 \leq i \leq n$$

- Note:

$$1) \Phi_1 = n,$$

$$2) \Phi^{t+1} \leq \Phi^t \quad \forall t$$

3) if WM algorithm makes a mistake in round t then total weight of wrong experts is more than correct ones:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^{t+1} &= \sum_{i \text{ wrong}} w_i^{t+1} + \sum_{i \text{ correct}} w_i^{t+1} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \text{ wrong}} w_i^t + \sum_{i \text{ correct}} w_i^t \\ &= \Phi^t - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \text{ wrong}} w_i^t \\ &\leq \frac{3}{4} \Phi^t \end{aligned}$$

if after T rounds, i has made m_i mistakes and WM has made m mistakes then

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{m_i} = w_i^{T+1} \leq \Phi^{T+1} \leq \Phi^1 \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^m = n \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^m$$

$$\rightarrow m \leq \frac{m_i + \log n}{\log \frac{4}{3}} \leq 2.41(m_i + \log n)$$

Using a similar analysis and choosing $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ 

Theorem: For $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, Penalizing each wrong expert

by a factor of $(1-\epsilon)$ guarantees the # of mistakes

by WM to be $m \leq 2(1+\epsilon)m^* + O(\frac{\log n}{\epsilon})$

proof: Same analysis implies

$$\Phi^{T+1} \leq (1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}) \Phi^T \text{ and}$$

$$(1-\epsilon)^{m_i} \leq \Phi^{T+1} \leq \Phi^1 (1-\frac{\epsilon}{2})^m = n(1-\frac{\epsilon}{2})^m \rightarrow$$

$$m \leq \frac{-m_i \log(1-\epsilon) + \ln n}{\epsilon/2} \leq \frac{2m_i(\epsilon + \epsilon^2)}{\epsilon} + O(\frac{\log n}{\epsilon})$$

(using the fact that $-\ln(1-\epsilon) = \epsilon + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} + \frac{\epsilon^3}{3} + \dots \leq \epsilon + \epsilon^2$)
 $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$

This means the ratio gets close to 2. $\square$

Proposition: Any deterministic algorithm makes $\geq 2m^*$ mistakes on some inputs

proof: If the algorithm is deterministic adversary knows the prediction based on history & prediction of experts.

suppose $n=2$:
A always predicts 1
B always predicts 0

so the adversary can choose the outcome s.t.

the algorithm is always wrong but at least

one of A or B has $\leq \frac{1}{2}$ error rate!

The Multiplicative Weight update (randomized WM)

- Now we see the randomized version of weighted majority that is known as the MWU algorithm.
- We use randomization to bypass the issue of scenario in the prev. Proposition.

Intuition: Use the weights exactly as before but now the prediction is drawn randomly proportional to current weights.

$$\Pr[o^t = u] = \frac{\sum_{i: i \text{ predicts } u} w_i^t}{\sum_i w_i^t}$$

- the update of w_i^t 's is the same as before.

Theorem: For any $\epsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}$, the expected number of mistakes made by randomized WM is:

$$\mathbb{E}[m] \leq (1+\epsilon)m_i + \alpha \frac{\log n}{\epsilon}$$

Proof: Again define potential function

$$\Phi^t = \sum_i w_i^t, \quad F^t = \frac{\sum_{i \text{ wrong}} w_i^t}{\sum_i w_i^t}$$

π r . 7 < r t

Note: $\mathbb{E}[m] = \sum_{t \in [T]} F_t$ as we make a mistake at each step t with probability F_t

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi^{t+1} &= \sum_{i \text{ correct}} w_i^{t+1} + \sum_{i \text{ wrong}} w_i^{t+1} \\ &= \sum_{i \text{ correct}} w_i^t + \sum_{i \text{ wrong}} (1-\epsilon) w_i^t \\ &= \Phi^t ((1-F_t) + (1-\epsilon)F_t) = \Phi^t (1-\epsilon F_t)\end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow (1-\epsilon)^{m_i} \leq \Phi^{T+1} = \Phi^1 \prod_{t=1}^T (1-\epsilon F_t) \leq n e^{-\epsilon \sum_{t=1}^T F_t} = n e^{-\epsilon \mathbb{E}[m]}$$

$$\rightarrow m_i \log(1-\epsilon) \leq \ln n - \epsilon \mathbb{E}[m] \rightarrow$$

$$\mathbb{E}[m] \leq (1+\epsilon) m_i + O\left(\frac{\ln n}{\epsilon}\right)$$

