"Where did you go to, if I may ask?" said Thorin to Gandalf as they rode along "To look ahead," said he. "And what brought you back in the nick of time?" "Looking behind," said he.

#### J.R.R. Tolkien, The Hobbit

# CMPUT 365 Introduction to RL

Marlos C. Machado

Class 15/35

#### Reminder I

#### You should be enrolled in the private session we created in Coursera for CMPUT 365.

I **cannot** use marks from the public repository for your course marks.

You **need** to **check**, **every time**, if you are in the private session and if you are submitting quizzes and assignments to the private section.

There were **12 pending invitations** last time I checked.

If you have any questions or concerns, **talk with the TAs** or email us cmput365@ualberta.ca.

#### Plan / Reminder II

- The time of my office hours has changed.
  - Thursday 10:00am 12:00pm in ATH 3-08.
- On the midterm:
  - I plan on marking it next week, worst case scenario next next week you should have your marks.
- What <u>I</u> plan to do today:
  - Where are we?
  - Overview of Monte Carlo Methods for Prediction & Control (Chapter 5 of the textbook).
- What I recommend **YOU** to do for next class:
  - Read Chapter 5 up to Section 5.5.
  - Graded Quiz (Off-policy Monte Carlo).
  - Programming Assignment is not graded this week.

CMPUT 365 - Class 15/35

# Please, interrupt me at any time!



CMPUT 365 - Class 15/35

## Interlude

- Main features of a reinforcement learning problem:
  - Trial-and-error learning
  - Exploration
  - Delayed credit assignment

- Main features of a reinforcement learning problem:
  - Trial-and-error learning
  - Exploration A flavour

A flavour of RL: Bandits (Chapter 2)

• Delayed credit assignment

- Main features of a reinforcement learning problem:
  - Trial-and-error learning
  - Exploration
  - Delayed credit assignment —

But what does that mean? What is this sequential decision-making problem we are trying to solve? What does solution mean here?

A problem formulation: MDPs (Chapter 3)

- Main features of a reinforcement learning problem:
  - Trial-and-error learning
  - Exploration
  - Delayed credit assignment
- What about the solution?

A first solution: Dynamic Programming (Chapter 4)

- Main features of a reinforcement learning problem: •
  - Trial-and-error learning
  - Exploration
  - Delayed credit assignment Ο
- What about the solution? •
  - We need to know p(s', r | s, a) and it Dynamic programming! can be computationally expensive to Ο

solve the system of linear equations.

Our first learning algorithm: Monte Carlo Methods (Chapter 5)

# Chapter 5

# Monte Carlo Methods

- This is our **first learning** method.
- We do not assume complete knowledge of the environment.
- "Monte Carlo methods require only experience sample sequences of states, actions, and rewards from actual or simulated interaction with an environment."
- It works! And different variations are used everywhere in the field (n-step returns, TD(λ), MCTS–AlphaGo/AlphaZero–, etc).
- ... but we still need a model, albeit only a sample model.

MC Methods are ways of solving the RL problem based on avg. sample returns (similar to bandits, but instead of rewards we are sampling returns).

#### Monte Carlo Prediction

#### First-visit MC prediction, for estimating $V \approx v_{\pi}$



#### Some useful information / reminders about MC Methods

- Often it is much easier to get samples than to get the distribution of next events. Recall the Blackjack example in the textbook.
- Monte Carlo methods do not *bootstrap* (the estimate for one state does not build upon the estimate of any other state).
- First/every-visit MC converge to  $v_{\pi}(s)$  as the number of visits to s goes to infinity. In first-visit MC, each return is i.i.d. and has finite variance  $\sqrt{(\mathcal{Y})}$
- The computational cost of estimating the value of a single state is independent of the number of states.



#### Monte Carlo Estimation of Action Values

- If we don't have access to a model, we need to estimate action values.
- Same as before, but now we visit state-action pairs \\_(𝒴)\_/
   But to estimate q<sub>∗</sub> we need to estimate the value of *all* actions from each state.
   Solution? Exploration! ... or exploring starts 😒

#### Monte Carlo Control



#### Monte Carlo ES

```
Monte Carlo ES (Exploring Starts), for estimating \pi \approx \pi_*
Initialize:
    \pi(s) \in \mathcal{A}(s) (arbitrarily), for all s \in S
    Q(s, a) \in \mathbb{R} (arbitrarily), for all s \in S, a \in \mathcal{A}(s)
    Returns(s, a) \leftarrow empty list, for all s \in S, a \in \mathcal{A}(s)
Loop forever (for each episode):
     Choose S_0 \in S, A_0 \in \mathcal{A}(S_0) randomly such that all pairs have probability > 0
    Generate an episode from S_0, A_0, following \pi: S_0, A_0, R_1, \ldots, S_{T-1}, A_{T-1}, R_T
    G \leftarrow 0
    Loop for each step of episode, t = T-1, T-2, \ldots, 0:
         G \leftarrow \gamma G + R_{t+1}
          Unless the pair S_t, A_t appears in S_0, A_0, S_1, A_1, \ldots, S_{t-1}, A_{t-1}:
               Append G to Returns(S_t, A_t)
               Q(S_t, A_t) \leftarrow \operatorname{average}(Returns(S_t, A_t))
               \pi(S_t) \leftarrow \operatorname{arg\,max}_a Q(S_t, a)
```



#### MC Control without Exploring Starts

On-policy first-visit MC control (for $\varepsilon$ -soft policies), estimates $\pi pprox \pi_*$	
Algorithm parameter: small $\varepsilon > 0$ Initialize: $\pi \leftarrow \text{an arbitrary } \varepsilon\text{-soft policy}$ $Q(s, a) \in \mathbb{R}$ (arbitrarily), for all $s \in S$ , $a \in \mathcal{A}(s)$ $Returns(s, a) \leftarrow \text{empty list, for all } s \in S$ , $a \in \mathcal{A}(s)$	
$ \begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Repeat forever (for each episode):} & \\ \mbox{Generate an episode following $\pi$: $S_0, A_0, R_1, \ldots, S_{T-1}, A_{T-1}, R_T$ \\ $G \leftarrow 0$ \\ \mbox{Loop for each step of episode, $t = T-1, T-2, \ldots, 0$:} & \\ $G \leftarrow \gamma G + R_{t+1}$ \\ \mbox{Unless the pair $S_t, A_t$ appears in $S_0, A_0, S_1, A_1 \ldots, S_{t-1}, A_{t-1}$:} & \\ $Append $G$ to $Returns(S_t, A_t)$ \\ $Q(S_t, A_t) \leftarrow average(Returns(S_t, A_t))$ \\ $A^* \leftarrow \arg\max_a Q(S_t, a)$ & (with ties broken arbitrarily)$ \\ $For all $a \in \mathcal{A}(S_t)$:} $ \\ $\pi(a S_t) \leftarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1 - \varepsilon + \varepsilon/ \mathcal{A}(S_t)  & \text{if $a = A^*$} \\ \varepsilon/ \mathcal{A}(S_t)  & \text{if $a \neq A^*$} \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right. $	to ensure that the ty we select each not zero.

#### MC Control without Exploring Starts

On-policy: You learn about the policy you used to make decisions.

Off-policy: You learn about a policy that is different from the one you used to make decisions.

```
On-policy first-visit MC control (for \varepsilon-soft policies), estimates \pi \approx \pi_*
Algorithm parameter: small \varepsilon > 0
Initialize:
    \pi \leftarrow an arbitrary \varepsilon-soft policy
    Q(s, a) \in \mathbb{R} (arbitrarily), for all s \in S, a \in \mathcal{A}(s)
    Returns(s, a) \leftarrow empty list, for all s \in S, a \in \mathcal{A}(s)
Repeat forever (for each episode):
    Generate an episode following \pi: S_0, A_0, R_1, \ldots, S_{T-1}, A_{T-1}, R_T
    G \leftarrow 0
    Loop for each step of episode, t = T - 1, T - 2, \dots, 0:
         G \leftarrow \gamma G + R_{t+1}
         Unless the pair S_t, A_t appears in S_0, A_0, S_1, A_1, \ldots, S_{t-1}, A_{t-1}:
              Append G to Returns(S_t, A_t)
              Q(S_t, A_t) \leftarrow \operatorname{average}(Returns(S_t, A_t))
              A^* \leftarrow \operatorname{arg\,max}_a Q(S_t, a)
                                                                                   (with ties broken arbitrarily)
              For all a \in \mathcal{A}(S_t):
                      \pi(a|S_t) \leftarrow \begin{cases} 1 - \varepsilon + \varepsilon/|\mathcal{A}(S_t)| & \text{if } a = A^* \\ \varepsilon/|\mathcal{A}(S_t)| & \text{if } a \neq A^* \end{cases}
```



### Learning with exploration

- We stopped after On-policy first-visit MC control (for  $\varepsilon$ -soft policies).
- ... but how can we learn about the optimal policy while behaving according to an exploratory policy? We need to behave non-optimally in order to explore
- So far we have been *on-policy*, which is a compromise: we learn about a near-optimal policy, not the optimal one.
- But what if we had two policies? We use one for exploration but we learn about another one, which would be the optimal policy?

Behaviour policy

That's off-policy learning! Target policy

### Pros and cons of off-policy learning

#### Pros

#### Cons

- It is more general.
- It is more powerful.
- It can benefit from external data
  - and other additional use cases.

- It is more complicated.
- It has much more variance.
  - Thus it can be much slower to learn.
- It can be unstable.

Check Example 5.5 in the textbook about Infinite Variance

#### What's the actual issue?

Let  $\pi$  denote the target policy, and let b denote the behaviour policy.

We want to estimate  $\mathbb{E}_{\pi}[G_t]$ , but what we can actually directly estimate is  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{b}}[G_t]$ . In other words,  $\mathbb{E}[G_t | S_t = s] = v_{\mathbf{b}}(s)$ .

#### Importance Sampling

A general technique for estimating expected values under one distribution given samples from another. It is based on re-weighting the probabilities of an event.

#### Importance Sampling

In RL, the probability of a trajectory is:

$$Pr\{A_t, S_{t+1}, A_{t+1}, \dots, S_T \mid S_t, A_{t:T-1} \sim \pi\}$$
  
=  $\pi(A_t|S_t)p(S_{t+1}|S_t, A_t)\pi(A_{t+1}|S_{t+1})\cdots p(S_T|S_{T-1}, A_{T-1})$   
=  $\prod_{k=t}^{T-1} \pi(A_k|S_k)p(S_{k+1}|S_k, A_k),$ 

#### Importance Sampling

In RL, the probability of a trajectory is:

$$Pr\{A_t, S_{t+1}, A_{t+1}, \dots, S_T \mid S_t, A_{t:T-1} \sim \pi\} \\ = \pi(A_t | S_t) p(S_{t+1} | S_t, A_t) \pi(A_{t+1} | S_{t+1}) \cdots p(S_T | S_{T-1}, A_{T-1}) \\ = \prod_{k=t}^{T-1} \pi(A_k | S_k) p(S_{k+1} | S_k, A_k),$$

the relative prob. of the traj. under the target and behavior policies (the IS ratio) is: We require coverage:

$$\rho_{t:T-1} \doteq \frac{\prod_{k=t}^{T-1} \pi(A_k | S_k) p(S_{k+1} | S_k, A_k)}{\prod_{k=t}^{T-1} b(A_k | S_k) p(S_{k+1} | S_k, A_k)} = \prod_{k=t}^{T-1} \frac{\pi(A_k | S_k)}{b(A_k | S_k)}.$$
The IS ratio does not depend on the MDP, that is, on p(s', r | s, a)!

h(a|s) > 0 when  $\pi(a|s) > 0$ 

Marlos C. Machado



#### The solution

The ratio  $\rho_{t:T-1}$  transforms the returns to have the right expected value:

$$\mathbb{E}[\rho_{t:T-1}G_t \mid S_t = s] = v_{\pi}(s).$$

-

$$V(s) \doteq rac{\sum_{t \in \mathfrak{T}(s)} 
ho_{t:T(t)-1} G_t}{|\mathfrak{T}(s)|}.$$

Set of all time steps in which state s is visited.

~

Weighted importance sampling:

$$V(s) \doteq \frac{\sum_{t \in \mathfrak{T}(s)} \rho_{t:T(t)-1} G_t}{\sum_{t \in \mathfrak{T}(s)} \rho_{t:T(t)-1}}$$

31

