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Weighted Graphs

```cpp
struct Edge {
    int u, v;
    int weight; // can be a double

    Edge (int uu = 0, int vv = 0, int ww = 0) :
        u(uu), v(vv), w(ww) {}
    bool operator<(const Edge& rhs) const {
        return weight < rhs.weight;
    }
};

typedef vector<vector<Edge>> weighted_graph;
weighted_graph g(n); // create a graph with n nodes

// read and add an edge
Edge e;
cin >> e.u >> e.v >> e.weight;
g[e.u].push_back(e);
```
Dijkstra’s Algorithm

Find the minimum-weight path from s to every other vertex.

Assumption: \( w(u, v) \geq 0 \) for all edges \( uv \).

Light a fire at s. The fire takes \( w(s, v) \) seconds to reach every neighbour \( v \) of s.

When a fire reaches a vertex \( v \), if the vertex has not yet been burned then the fire spreads down each edge exiting \( v \).
Use the Edge struct to model active fires spreading across edges. Edge.weight is the time when the fire reaches Edge.v.

```cpp
weighted_graph g; // assume already populated

priority_queue pq<Edge>; // active fires
vector<Edge> path(g.size(), Edge(-1, -1, -1));

pq.push(Edge(s, s, 0)); // a fire starts on s at time 0

while (!pq.empty()) {
    Edge curr = pq.top();
    pq.pop();
    if (path[curr.v].u != -1) continue; // already burned
    path[curr.v] = curr;
    for (auto& succ : g[curr.v])
        pq.push(Edge(succ.u, succ.v, succ.weight + curr.weight));
}
```

Now path[v].u is the vertex prior to v on a min-weight s – v path and path[v].weight is the weight of this path.
Problem
A c++ priority_queue is a max-heap, meaning it will return the largest item in the heap.

I just use change operator< to check weight > rhs.weight.

Running Time
$O(m \log m)$ where $m = \# \text{ edges}$.

An edge is burned at most once, and it takes $O(\log m)$ time to push and pop.
Handling Negative Weights

Things are trickier with minimum-weight cycles.

- A walk from $s$ to $t$ could run around a negative weight cycle as long as it wants, so there is no minimum-weight walk.
- If we insist on not repeating a vertex, it is NP-hard to find the minimum-weight path.

Shortest paths can still be found if no negative weight cycles exist.
Adjacency Matrix Representation

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & \infty & 3 & \infty \\
2 & 0 & -3 & \infty \\
\infty & 4 & 0 & 1 \\
\infty & 1 & -1 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]  
(\infty \equiv \text{no edge})

It might make more sense to use \(\infty\) on the diagonal. It depends on the application.
Dijkstra’s algorithm fails to find shortest paths if some edges have negative weight, even if there are no negative-weight cycles.

An incredibly simple dynamic programming approach will compute the shortest path between any two vertices in just 4 lines of code.

Can handle negative weight edges and detect negative weight cycles.

Let $G$ be represented with an adjacency matrix with 0s on the diagonal.
/* Assumes $g[u][v]$ is initially the cost of the edge $(u,v)$, INFINITY (i.e. some big number) if no such edge. Also need $g[v][v] = 0$ for all $v$. */

for (int $k = 0$; $k < n$; $k++$)
  for (int $u = 0$; $u < n$; $u++$)
    for (int $v = 0$; $v < n$; $v++$)
      $g[u][v] = \min(g[u][v], g[u][k] + g[k][v])$;

If there is a negative weight cycle $C$, $g[v][v] < 0$ for some $v \in C$.

Otherwise, $g[u][v]$ is the weight of the min-weight $u - v$ path for any $u, v \in V$.

**Invariant**

After iteration $k$, $g[u][v]$ is the minimum possible weight of a $u - v$ path using only uses $0, \ldots, k$ as intermediate vertices.

**Running Time:** $O(n^3)$. 
Bellman-Ford

A (potentially) faster way to handle negative-weight edges.

Let \( s \in V \), let \( bf[s] = 0 \) and \( bf[v] = \infty \) for all \( v \neq s \).

The values \( bf[v] \) represent the shortest \( s - v \) path “seen so far”.

Try to find an edge \((u, v)\) with \( bf[u] + \text{weight}(u, v) < bf[v] \) to find a shorter path to \( v \).

Iterate until no more changes to \( bf[] \).
int bf[MAXN]; // MAXN = max # nodes possible
vector<Edge> edges; // list of all edges

for (int v = 0; v < n; ++v)
    bf[v] = (v == s ? 0 : INFINITY);

for (int iter = 0; iter < n; ++iter)
    for (auto& e : edges)
        bf[e.v] = min(bf[e.v], bf[e.u] + e.weight);

If there is still an edge e with $bf[e.u] + e.weight < bf[e.v]$ then e lies on a negative weight cycle.

**Running Time:** $O(n \cdot m)$

**Loop Invariants:**

1. If $bf[v] \neq \infty$, it is the length of some $s \rightarrow v$ path.
2. After $k$ iterations, $bf[v] \leq$ shortest path that uses $\leq k$ steps.
All-pairs shortest paths with negative-weight edges

Initialize $bf[v] = 0, \forall v \in V$ and run the Bellman-Ford algorithm.

Note: $bf[v] < 0$ for some $v$ iff $G$ has a negative-weight cycle.

If there is no negative weight cycle:

$$bf[u] + weight(u,v) - bf[v] \geq 0, \forall uv \in E$$

Find all-pairs shortest paths by running Dijkstra’s from each $v \in V$, but use edge weights $bf[u] + weight(u,v) - bf[v]$.

Running time: $O(nm \log m)$. Better than $O(n^3)$ in sparse graphs.
Minimum Spanning Tree

Let $G = (V, E)$ be an undirected, connected, and weighted graph.

Spanning Tree
A subset of edges $T \subseteq E$ forming a connected tree.

Find the spanning tree with minimum total edge weight.
Kruskal’s Algorithm

• Sort the edges $e_1, \ldots, e_m$ by weight.
• $T = \emptyset$
• For each $e_i = (u, v)$ in this order, if $u$ is not connected to $v$ in $T$ then add $e_i$ to $T$.

Exercise

• The final $T$ will always be connected (assuming $G$ is connected).
• There are no cycles in $T$.

So, $T$ is a spanning tree.
Greedy algorithms like this often fail to find optimum solutions. Why does it work in this case?

**Lemma (good coffee shop math)**

A spanning tree $T$ is a minimum-weight spanning tree if and only if for every cycle $C$, some heaviest edge of $C$ is not in $T$.

So, when the algorithm considers the heaviest edge $e_i$ of some cycle, its endpoints are already connected so $e_i$ will not be added!
To **efficiently** detect if \( T \) connects the endpoints of an edge \( e_i \), we use the **union-find** data structure.

It represents a connected component in \( T \) by a directed tree, the root is the *representative* of the tree.

The endpoints of \( e_i \) have the same representative if and only if they are already connected.
Before adding any edges (i.e. $T = \emptyset$):

To merge two components, just point one representative at the other.
Crawl up the tree to find representatives.

Path Compression
To speed up future calculations, also point all nodes seen to the rep.
int uf[MAXN]; //union find pointers
int find(int u) {
    if (uf[u] != u) uf[u] = find(uf[u]);
    return uf[u];
}

bool merge(int u, int v) {
    u = find(u); v = find(v);
    uf[u] = v;
    return u == v; //true iff this merged different components
}

for (int v = 0; v < n; ++i) uf[v] = v; //initialization

//suppose edges are stored in vector<Edge> edges;
sort(edges.begin(), edges.end());

int mst = 0;
for (auto& e : edges)
    if (merge(e.u, e.v))
        mst += e.weight;
Running time:

- $O(m \log m)$ to sort the edges
- $m$ union-find “merges” has total running time $O(m \log)$

**Overall:** $O(m \log m)$.

**Union Find by Rank (in textbook)**

$k$ merge calls has running time $O(\alpha(k) \cdot k)$ where $\alpha(k)$ is a crazy slow function.

$\alpha(k) \leq 5$ for $k \leq 100^{100}$

Though, $\alpha(k) \to \infty$ so it’s technically not a constant.

**Neato Fact:** There is a randomized algorithm that finds a MST in expected running time $O(n + m)$.