CMPUT 675: Topics in Combinatorics and Optimization
 Fall 2016

 Lecture 10 (Oct. 5): MMCC Algorithm-Matching in General Graph
 Scribe: Arnoosh Golestanian

 Lecturer: Zachary Friggstad
 Scribe: Arnoosh Golestanian

# 10.1 Minimum-Cost Bipartite Matching

In this lecture we want to show the correctness of Minimum Mean Cycle Canceling algorithm which was mentioned in the previous lecture.

For a flow f, let mean $(f_i)$  denote the minimum ratio  $\frac{c(\mathcal{C}_i)}{|\mathcal{C}_i|}$  of a cycle in the residual graph  $\mathcal{G}_{f_i}$ .

Lef  $f_i$  be the flow after iteration i and  $C_i$  be minimum ratio cycle in  $G_{f_i}$ . So, mean $(f_i) := \frac{c(C_i)}{|C_i|}$ . The following was shown in the previous lecture.

$$\operatorname{mean}(f_i) \le \operatorname{mean}(f_{i+1}) \quad (1)$$

$$\operatorname{mean}(f_i) \le 2 \cdot \operatorname{mean}(f_{i+m \cdot n}) \quad (2)$$

Let  $k := m \cdot n(\lceil \log_2^n \rceil + 1)$ 

**Lemma 1** For every iteration i where i + k is not the final minimum-cost flow, there exists  $e \in C_i$  such that  $e \notin E_{f_j}, \forall j \ge i + k$ .

If this holds, then the algorithm will terminate in at most  $m \cdot k$  iterations.

### Proof.

## **Observation 1**

According to Equation (2) and the definition of k, we have the following:

$$\operatorname{mean}(f_i) \le 2^{\lceil \log_2^n \rceil + 1} \operatorname{mean}(f_{i+k}) \le 2n \cdot \operatorname{mean}(f_{i+k})$$

where the last inequality is by using an obvious fact that  $\lceil \log_2^n \rceil \ge \log_2^n$ .

# Observation 2

Let  $c'(e) = c(e) - \operatorname{mean}(f_{i+k})$  for all  $e \in E_{f_{i+k}}$ .

Note: For every  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $G_{f_{i+k}}$ ,  $c'(\mathcal{C}) = c(\mathcal{C}) - \text{mean}(f_{i+k}) \cdot |\mathcal{C}| \ge 0$ ; because  $\text{mean}(f_{i+k}) \le \frac{c(\mathcal{C})}{|\mathcal{C}|}$ .

So, there exists a potential  $\phi$  for  $(G_{f_{i+k}}, c')$ : we have  $c'_{\phi}(e) \ge 0, \forall e \in E_{f_{i+k}}$ .

**Observation 3**  $0 \le c'_{\phi}(e) = c_{\phi}(e) - \operatorname{mean}(f_{i+k}) \text{ for all } e \in E_{f_{i+k}}.$  By using the definition of  $c'_{\phi}(e)$ .

#### **Observation** 4

 $c_{\phi}(\mathcal{C}_i) = c(\mathcal{C}_i) = \operatorname{mean}(f_i) \cdot |\mathcal{C}_i| \le 2n \cdot \operatorname{mean}(f_{i+k}) \cdot |\mathcal{C}_i|.$ 

This follows from Observation 1. Hence, there is an edge  $e^* \in \mathcal{C}_i$  such that  $c_{\phi}(e^*) \leq 2n \cdot \text{mean}(f_{i+k})$ .

### Observation 5

For this edge  $e^* \in E_{f_i}$ , we claim  $e^* \notin E_{f_{i+k}}$ . Assume otherwise, then by Observation 3, mean $(f_{i+k}) \leq c_{\phi}(e^*) \leq 2n \cdot \text{mean}(f_{i+k})$  where the last inequality comes from Observation 4. This is a contradiction since mean $(f_{i+k})$  is a strictly negative (because i + k is not the last iteration).

**Claim 1** For every flow  $\overline{f}$  of value  $\gamma$ ,  $e^* \notin E_{\overline{f}}$  if  $\operatorname{mean}(\overline{f}) \geq \operatorname{mean}(f_{i+k})$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\overline{f}$  be a flow of value  $\gamma$  with  $e^* \in E_{\overline{f}}$ . We will demonstrate a cycle in  $G_{\overline{f}}$  with mean cost less than  $\operatorname{mean}(f_{i+k})$ .

Let  $g = \overline{f} - f_{i+k}$  and  $\overline{g}$  be the corresponding circulation in  $G_{f_{i+k}}$ : namely set  $\overline{g}(e)$  if  $g(e) \ge 0$  and  $\overline{g}(e) = g(\overleftarrow{e})$  if g(e) < 0. We saw in an earlier lecture that constructing  $\overline{g}$  in this manner only puts nonzero flow on edges in  $G_{f_{i+k}}$ .

Since,  $e^* \in E_{\overline{f}} - E_{f_{i+k}}$ , then  $\overline{g}(\overleftarrow{e^*}) > 0$ . This, plus the fact that  $\overline{g}$  is a circulation in  $G_{f_{i+k}}$  means there is a cycle  $\mathcal{C}^*$  in  $G_{f_{i+k}}$  with  $e^* \in \mathcal{C}^*$ . Note

$$c(\mathcal{C}^*) = c_{\phi}(\mathcal{C}^*) = \sum_{e \in \mathcal{C}^*} c_{\phi}(e) = c_{\phi}(\overleftarrow{e^*}) + c_{\phi}(\mathcal{C}^* - \{\overleftarrow{e^*}\})$$

If we apply Observation 3 on each all edges in  $\mathcal{C}^* - \{\overleftarrow{e^*}\}$ , we get that  $c_{\phi}(\mathcal{C}^* - \{\overleftarrow{e^*}\}) \ge (|\mathcal{C}^*| - 1) \cdot \operatorname{mean}(f_{i+k})$ . Then using Observation 4, we conclude that:

$$c(\mathcal{C}^*) \ge -2n \cdot \operatorname{mean}(f_{i+k}) + (|\mathcal{C}^*| - 1) \cdot \operatorname{mean}(f_{i+k}) > -n \cdot \operatorname{mean}(f_{i+k})$$

By considering  $f_{i+k} - \overline{f}$ , we see  $\overleftarrow{C^*} = \{\overleftarrow{e} : e \in \mathcal{C}^*\}$  is a cycle in  $G_{\overline{f}}$ . The above bound means  $c(\overleftarrow{\mathcal{C}^*}) < n \cdot \text{mean}(f_{i+k})$ . So,

$$\operatorname{mean}(\overline{f}) \leq \frac{c(\overleftarrow{\mathcal{C}^*})}{|\overleftarrow{\mathcal{C}^*}|} \leq \frac{c(\overleftarrow{\mathcal{C}^*})}{n} < \operatorname{mean}(f_{i+k}).$$

This also completes the proof of the theorem.

Using the algorithm to find minimum-ratio cycles in  $O(mn^2)$  time plus the bound on the number of iterations, we see that we can find a minimum-cost cycle in time  $O(m^3n^3\log n)$ . By using a faster O(mn) algorithm to find minimum-ratio cycles (in the Korte-Vygen texbook), we can further reduce this running time.



Figure 10.1: A set X and the connected components obtained by deleting X (and all incident edges) from  $\mathcal{G}$ .

# 10.2 Matchings in General Graph

We shift focus back to computing maximum matchings. Earlier in the course, we saw how to compute a maximum matching in a bipartite graph in polynomial time. Now we will find maximum matchings in any undirected graph.

Let  $\mathcal{G} = (V, E)$  be an undirected graph. For  $X \subseteq V$ , let  $q_{\mathcal{G}}(X)$  be the number of connected components in  $\mathcal{G} - X$  with an odd number of vertices, see Figure 10.1.

**Definition 1** Graph  $\mathcal{H} = (V', E')$  is factor critical if for all  $v \in V'$ ,  $\mathcal{H} - v$  has a perfect matching.

According to this definition, a graph with just one node is also factor critical.

**Theorem 1** Graph  $\mathcal{G}$  has a perfect matching iff  $q_{\mathcal{G}}(X) \leq |X|$  for every  $X \subseteq V$ .

# Proof.

We prove this by induction on |V|. The case |V| = 1 is trivial: there is no perfect matching and by considering  $X = \emptyset$  we see  $1 = q_{\mathcal{G}}(X) > |X|$ .

Suppose  $\mathcal{G}$  has perfect matching and let C be an odd component of  $\mathcal{G} - X$ . Since every vertex in C is matched and |C| is odd, then some vertex of C must be matched with a vertex of X. So it must be  $q_{\mathcal{G}}(X) \leq |X|$ .

Conversely, suppose that for every  $X \subseteq V$ ,  $q_{\mathcal{G}}(X) \leq |X|$ . Then |V| will be even, otherwise if |V| is odd then some connected component of V is an odd component, so  $q_{\mathcal{G}}(\emptyset) \geq 1$ , which contradicts the assumption  $q_{\mathcal{G}}(\emptyset) \leq 0$ .

Let  $even(\mathcal{H})$  and  $odd(\mathcal{H})$  be the vertex sets of the even components and odd components of a graph  $\mathcal{H} = (V', E')$ , respectively. Note, for every  $X \subseteq V'$  we have

$$|V'| = |X| + \sum_{C \in even(\mathcal{H}-X)} |C| + \sum_{C \in odd(\mathcal{H}-X)} |C| \equiv |X| + |q_{\mathcal{H}}(X)| \pmod{2}.$$

In particular, because |V| is even we have for any  $X \subseteq V$  that

$$|X| \equiv q_{\mathcal{G}}(X) \pmod{2}. \tag{10.1}$$

Next, let  $X \subseteq V$  be any maximal set such that  $|X| = q_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ . Such a set exists by looking at the singleton sets: for each  $v \in V$ ,  $q_{\mathcal{G}}(\{v\}) \leq |\{v\}| = 1$  and according to (10.1),  $q_{\mathcal{G}}(\{v\}) \equiv |\{v\}| \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ . So,  $q_{\mathcal{G}}(\{v\}) = 1$ .



Figure 10.2: Example for the proof of Theorem 1

Claim 2  $\mathcal{G} - X$  has no even component.

**Proof.** We prove this claim by contradiction. Assume that C is an even component of  $\mathcal{G} - X$ . Pick any v in C. Every odd component of  $\mathcal{G} - (X \cup \{v\})$  is still an odd component of  $\mathcal{G} - X'$ . There will also be at least one more odd component that is a subgraph of  $C - \{v\}$  (as  $|C - \{v\}|$  is odd). So  $q_{\mathcal{G}}(X \cup \{v\}) \ge |X| + 1$ . See Figure 10.2.

However, according to our inductive step,  $q_{\mathcal{G}}(X \cup \{v\}) = |X| + 1$  which contradicts the maximality of set X.

**Comment**: The remainder of this proof was completed in the subsequent lecture. So don't let the following **q.e.d.** symbol fool you :)