CGT class notes 2024

this is a brief lecture summary

I suggest that you also take your own notes

lecture 1

- syllabus
- linear clobber
- \bullet who wins xoxoxoxoxoxo ?
- cgt, egt

clobber

```
L player Left (also bLack, x)
R player Right (also whiTe, o)
```

N-psn (first player win)
P-psn (first player loss)
L-psn (L wins as 1st and 2nd player)
R-psn (R wins as 1st and 2nd player)

e.g. clobber

ox in N

oxox ?

assume w.l.o.g. L plays first

tree of all possible continuations of the game ?

say x plays first



lecture 2

Zermelo's theorem

G: 2-player alt-turn finite (so no loops) win/loss/draw game one of these holds:

- ptm wins (means: exists winning strategy for (ptm, G))
- optm wins
- ptm draws and optm draws
 - exists at-least-draw strat for (ptm,G), and
 - exists at-least-draw strat for (optm,G)

a simple game:

2p alt-turn

B wins with vertical column

W wins with horizontal row

next page: game dag (directed acyclic graph)



sketch of proof of Z's theorem

now that we have seen examples on the diagram, here is an outline of how to proof Z's thm...

* inductively apply Z's thm for each
subgame whose game dag has its root as
a child of the original dag's root
* this gives us a value of -1, 0, or 1
for each child of the root

sketch of proof of Z's theorem (continued)

how do we prove Z's thm at the root?

case 1: min values of children = -1then value of root is 1 :)

case 2: min values of children = 0
 then value of root is 0
 root-ptm's drawing strategy?
 - play to such a child
 root-optm's drawing strategy ?
 - exercise

case 3: min values of children = 1

then value of root is -1 root-optm's winning strategy? easy: for each root-ptm move, we end up at a child with value 1 and it's root-optm's move

mwah haaaahhhhhaaaaaa

back to linear clobber who wins ox12 ? (oxoxoxoxoxox) game x { | } call this game 0 game ox { 0 | 0 } call this game * game oxx { 0 | * } call this game up game oox { * | 0 } call this game up game oxox { 0, *, up | 0, *, down }