## Euclid's little lemma: book 7 proposition 30

**lemma.** p prime, x, y integer,  $p|xy \implies p|x$  or p|y.

**proof.** It suffices to prove for the case when  $x, y \ge 0$ . The cases where x or y are negative follow by multiplying by -1.

Argue by induction on p. Base case (p): let p = 2. Then exists t, xy = pt = 2t. The product of two odd numbers is odd, so at least one of x, y is not odd, so the lemma holds.

Inductive hypothesis (p): let p > 2 and assume that the lemma holds for all smaller primes.

Now argue by induction on xy. Base case (xy): let xy = 0. Then at least one of x, y — say x — is 0. x = 0 = 0p, so p|x, so the lemma holds.

Inductive hypothesis (xy): let xy > 0 and assume that the lemma holds for p and all smaller values of xy.

p|xy, so exists t, pt = xy. Exists  $q_x, r_x$  so that  $x = pq_x + r_x$ ,  $0 \le r_x < p$ . Exists  $q_y, r_y$  so that  $y = pq_y + r_y$ ,  $0 \le r_y < p$ .

If  $q_x > 0$  then  $pt = (pq_x + r_x)y$ , so  $p(t - q_xy) = r_xy$  where  $r_x = x - pq_x < x$ . So  $p|r_xy$ , and by hypothesis (xy) the lemma holds for  $p, r_x, y$ , so either p|y, and we are done, or  $p|r_x$ , in which case  $p|(r_x + pq_x = x)$ , and we are done.

By a similar argument, if  $q_y > 0$  then we are done.

So now assume that  $q_x = q_y = 0$ . Thus  $x = r_x < p$ ,  $y = r_y < p$ , and since pt = xy we must have t < p. Also,  $t \neq 1$ , for otherwise p = xy and prime p has nontrivial factors x, y, contradiction.

So  $t \ge 2$ , so t has a prime factor  $s \le t < p$ , say t = sv. Now pt = psv = xy, so s|xy and, by hypothesis (p), the lemma holds for s, x, y, so s|x or s|y. By relabelling x, y if necessary, assume that s|x, say sw = x.

Now pt = psv = xy = swy, so pv = wy where w < x. By hypothesis (xy), the lemma holds for p, w, y, so either p|y and we are done, or p|w, in which case p|ws = x and we are done.

Thus, by induction on p, and then xy, the lemma holds.  $\Box$